



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/649,258	08/27/2003	David S. Benco	27-20-20-21-20	7204
7590 09/22/2006		EXAMINER		
Lucent Technologies Inc.			NGUYEN, TU X	
Docket Administaror (Room 3J-219)			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
101 Crawfords Corner Road			2618	
Holmdel, NJ 07733-3030			DATE MAILED: 09/22/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/649,258	BENCO ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Tu X. Nguyen	2618			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS OF THE MAILING THE MAIL	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Ju. This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.				
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5) □ 6) □ 7) □ 8) □ Applicati 9) □ 10) ⊠	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on 27 August 2003 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction.	vn from consideration. r election requirement. r. a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See to the drawing(s) is objected it the drawing(s) is objected to the drawing(s) is objected t	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some col None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
2)	(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te			

Application/Control Number: 10/649,258

Art Unit: 2618

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 8 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Claims 1-3, 5-9 and 11-12, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Huomo et al. (US Pub. 2003/0022671).

Regarding claim 1, Huomo et al. disclose a method comprising a switching element performing the steps of:

receiving, from a party engaged in an active call, a request for transfer of the call from a first communication unit to a pre-provisioned second communication unit associated with the party (see abstract);

responsive to the request, consulting a database to determine the second communication unit (see par.021, 0027);

while maintaining a telephonic connection (see par.024) to the first communication unit, attempting to establish a telephonic connection to the second communication unit (see par.0032);

Application/Control Number: 10/649,258

Art Unit: 2618

if the connection to the second communication unit is established, dropping the connection to the first communication unit, thereby transferring the call from the first communication unit to the second communication unit of the party (see par.024).

Regarding claims 2 and 11, Huomo et al. disclose the step of receiving a request for transfer (see par.012, the cellular telephone sends a signal to a mobile switching center" corresponds to "receiving a request") is accomplished without receiving a directory number of the second communication unit (see par.012, the switching is done automatically without a specified destination address information from the communication unit).

Regarding claims 3 and 9, Huomo et al. disclose the first communication unit comprises a mobile phone and the second communication unit comprises a landline phone associated with the party (see par.002).

Regarding claim 5, Huomo et al. disclose if the connection to the second communication unit is not established sending a message to the first communication unit indicating that the requested transfer did not occur (see par.031).

Regarding claim 6, Huomo et al. disclose the step of consulting a database comprises resulting the database to determining a directory number of the second communication unit (see par.021, 027).

Regarding claim 7 is rejected with similar reasons set forth for claims 5 and 6.

Regarding claim 8, Huomo et al. disclose a method comprising a switching element performing of:

receiving, from a first communication unit, a call transfer request (see abstract);

Application/Control Number: 10/649,258

Art Unit: 2618

responsive to the call transfer request, consulting a database including indicia of the first communication unit and indicia of a second communication unit to which call transfer may be directed from the communication unit, the switching element identifying the second communication unit coincident to consulting the database (see par.021, 027); and

transferring the call from the first communication unit to the second communication unit (see par012).

Regarding claim 12, Huomo et al. disclose the step of transferring the call comprises: while maintaining a telephonic connection to the first communication unit, establishing a telephonic connection to the second communication unit (see par.024); after the connection to the second communication unit is established, dropping the connection to the first communication unit (see par.024).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4 and 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Huomo et al. (US Pub. 2003/0022671).

Regarding claims 4 and 10, Huomo et al. disclose the first communication unit comprises a mobile phone and the second communication unit comprises a landline phone of the party (see par.002). Huomo et al. fail to disclose the first communication unit comprises a

Art Unit: 2618

landline phone and the second communication unit comprises a mobile phone. However, the call transfer method is switched connection automatically, at the network level by a mobile switching center and optionally respond for switching by pushing button may be on a landline phone (see par.012, 032). Therefore, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Huomo et al. to provide a call transfer from a mobile to a landline phone or vice versa without a required call transfer feature in a landline phone.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/649,258 Page 6

Art Unit: 2618

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed Tu Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-7883.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Urban, can be reached at (571) 272-7899. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

September 7, 2006

EDWARD F. URBAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600